SHF Operational Manual: Annex 7

Strategic Review Committee (SRC) score card for project prioritization



(14 January 2020

SRC - Categories weighting

	Categories						
Α	Strategic relevance	30					
	Aimed at assessing the alignment of the proposed project with the HRP and the allocation strategy						
В	Programmatic relevance	30					
	Assesses if the proposed activities are adequate to meet the proposed objective						
С	Cost effectiveness	15					
	Assesses if the cost of the proposed projects is commensurate to the intended outputs and outcomes						
D	Cluster specific criteria	20					
	Assess if the proposal is technically sound and adheres to cluster standards						
E	Engagement with coordination	5					
	Assess partners engagement in humanitarian coordination forums including its level of participation in cluster meetings/activities						
Total		100					

Score card					
Category		Question(s)	score		
Strategic Relevance	30	Is the proposal in the HRP and in line with the allocation strategy and its guidelines. Partners with presence in the target locations,	Yes/fully	25	
		has previous experience in the thematic area and with capacity to directly implement should be scored highest. (25 points)	Substantially Partially	20 15	
			Minimally Barely	10 5	
		Is the proposal submitted by a NNGO (5 points)	Yes No	5	
Programmatic Relevance	30	Are the proposed activities in line with those prioritized by the Cluster in their response plan?. Does the project clearly define	Yes/fully	15	
		humanitarian needs and needs identification process i.e. needs	Substantially	12	
		assessments used etc. Projects with activities matching those	Partially Minimally	9	
		considered by the cluster as most relevant for the targeted response should be scored highest. Projects where targeted beneficiaries are clearly defined, needs of specific and or/vulnerable groups identified and participation of women in the project life-cycle clearly articulated should be scored highest. (15 points)	Barely	9 6 3	
		Is protection central in response and does the project demonstrate a relationship between humanitarian crisis and the real assistance needs for Men, Women, Boys and Girls? Projects that demonstrate a) higher quality gender and protection mainstreaming b) accountability to affected people and c) inclusion of people with disability in planning and implementation phase of project should be scored higher. (15 points)	Yes fully Substantially Partially Minimally Barely	15 12 9 6	
Cost Effectiveness	15	Is the cost per beneficiary competitive and within an acceptable range for the cluster? Is the proportion of direct costs versus support/operational costs reasonable? Projects that represent better value for money should be scored higher. Is the total cost of the project per beneficiary competitive when compared to other projects with similar activities, and in line with cluster norms? (15 points)	Yes/fully Substantially Partially Minimally Barely	15 12 9 6	
Cluster Specific Criteria					
Coordination	5	Does the partner participate in the cluster (i.e. attend cluster meetings, provide timely information to the cluster, consistently	Yes Partially	5 3 0	
-	165	fulfills cluster reporting requirements, (5 points)	No		
Total	100				

(A) Cluster							-					
	B) Allocation				Signati	uro Clustor Co	ordinator						
(C) Cluster Coordinator (name, title and					Signa	ure Cluster Co ture Cluster C	o-Chair						
(C) Cluster Coordinator (name, title and (D) Cluster Co-Chair (name, title and organization) (E) SRC Members (names)													
]									
				1									
-													
				-									
(F) Date of Submission to SHF												
				J									
(G) Summary of issues (if any)												
	Project Title	Organization	1	2	3	4	5	Total score		Amount		Comme	Locations (regio
		(acronym)	Strategic relevance	Programmati c relevance	effectiveness	specific	Coordination		Recommend	Recommend ed US\$		nts	projects only)
_	No		(30 points)	(30 points)	(15 points)	(20 points)	(5 points)	(100 points)	(Yes/No)	US\$			
-	1							0					
-	2							0					
	3							0					
-	3							U					
	4							0					
								Ū					
	5							0					
	6							0					
	7							0					
-	8							0					
-	9							0					
	40												
-	10							0					
	11							0					
	12							0					
	13							0					
	14							0					
-	15							0					
	16							0					
	10							U					
	17							0					
	18							0					
	19							0					
-	20							0					
-	21							0					
	22							_					
-	22				<u> </u>			0					
	23							0					
								U					+
	24							0					
										i	1		1

SOMALIA HUMANITARIAN FUND

Project Prioritization - Scoring Sheet - SAMPLE ONLY - The scoring sheet should be completed and submitted directed in the GMS

This scoring sheet is to support Strategic Review Committees in their prioritization of projects for the SHF.

In addition to the four generic criteria that apply across clusters (1-3,5), each cluster can add cluster-sprecific technicial criteria (4).

The score card parameters to consider under each standard criteria is shown below the table.

The scores should be inserted in the GMS by the cluster secretariat. The SHF focal points will be available to provide guidance.